

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Tomohiro Chiba	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2651
10/077,907	10/077,907 02/20/2002			018842.1204	
24735	7590	02/04/2003			
BAKER BO		-	EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT THE WARNER, SUITE 1300				LEO, LEONARD R	
	1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3743	
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/077,907	CHIBA, TOMOHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonard R. Leo	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 /	lovember 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(s)	, , ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s), Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/077,907

Art Unit: 3743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-6 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haruhiko in view of Bossart et al.

Haruhiko discloses all the claimed limitations except the projection portions being obliquely arranged.

Bossart et al discloses a heat exchanger comprising a plurality of tubes having a plurality of obliquely arranged projection portions for the purpose of providing turbulence to improve heat exchange. As disclosed by Bossart et al, obliquely arranged projection portions are believed to be mere alternates of perpendicular projection portions, where the oblique arrangement provides less resistance to the longitudinal direction of the fluid flow to minimize pressure drop.

Since Haruhiko and Bossart et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Bossart et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Haruhiko.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Haruhiko obliquely arranged projection portions for the purpose of providing turbulence to improve heat exchange as recognized by Bossart et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,907

Art Unit: 3743

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks have been considered, but are not persuasive.

The primary reference of Haruhiko discloses all the claimed limitations except the projection portions being obliquely arranged. Haruhiko (sections 40 and 43-44) discloses a plurality of projecting portions 70 may be employed on one or both plates of the tube.

The secondary reference of Bossart et al teaches one of ordinary skill in the art to employ obliquely arranged projection portions for the purpose of providing turbulence to improve heat exchange. However, in this instance, both normal and oblique projecting portions as disclosed by Bossart et al are mere alternates of one another, since both structures produce turbulence in the fluid. The normal projecting portions produce a larger pressure drop than the oblique projecting portions, and a larger heat exchange. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would employ obliquely projection portions to minimize pressure drop.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3743

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743

February 3, 2003